

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9 WAYMO LLC,

No. C 17-00939 WHA

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
13 OTTOMOTTO LLC; and OTTO
14 TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.
15 _____/

**ORDER AFTER HEARING
ON MOTION FOR LEAVE
TO AMEND**

16 For the reasons stated on the record at yesterday's hearing, plaintiff Waymo LLC's
17 motion for leave to file an amended list of asserted trade secrets for trial, supplemental expert
18 reports, and revised witness and exhibit lists (Dkt. No. 2062) is **GRANTED IN PART** with respect
19 to expert witnesses, lay witnesses, and exhibits pertaining to currently-asserted trade secrets,
20 except that defendants' objection regarding Neel Chatterjee is **HELD IN ABEYANCE**. This is
21 subject to the conditions stated on the record and without prejudice to any individual objections
22 targeted to specific new exhibits. As stated on the record, Waymo's motion is otherwise **HELD**
23 **IN ABEYANCE** with respect to its proposed new trade secret claims.
24

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
26

27 Dated: October 27, 2017.
28



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE